A D F A B F A B F A B F

Estimating the transient detection efficiency using **iPTF**

Predicting Supernova Rates

Deep Chatterjee ¹ Peter Nugent ², David Kaplan ¹, Patrick Brady ¹, Chris Cannella ³, Mansi Kasliwal ³ & iPTF Collaboration

¹University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee

²Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory ³California Institute of Technology

Midwest Workshop On Supernovae and Transients, Chicago February 25, 2019



Motivation

Rate estimates require recovery efficiency!

Why rates?

- Track evolution of the universe, star formation history.
- Constrain progenitor models.
- Abundance of elements.

Many transients are missed!

- Intrinsically dim, high sky brightness, low cadence
- Need efficiency folding in intrinsic properties, observing conditions and cadence.



Rates

intermediate Palomar Transient Factory



Figure: Palomar 48 inch. Credits: https://www.ptf.caltech.edu/images

- Optical telescope mounted at Palomar Observatory.
- Survey operations 2013 2016, now replaced by ZTF.
- Confirmed \sim 1900 SNe of different types.
- SNIa rates are well studied.
 - · Good case study before moving on to interesting transients



Methodology

Consider in two steps





Rates

Single-epoch recovery



- Control the transient brightness, place them in different galaxy types covering the **intrinsic properties**.
- Perform injections into original images covering the observing conditions.¹
- Run image subtraction to determine missed/found injections.



¹Similar technique for PTF [Frohmaier et al., 2017]

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Transient Detectability

- Intrinsic properties:
 - Apparent magnitude, *m*
 - Host galaxy surface brightness, S_{gal}
- Observing conditions:
 - Limiting magnitude, mlim
 - Sky brightness, F_{sky}
 - Image quality, Φ_{IQ}

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\underbrace{m, S_{\text{gal}}, \ldots}_{\text{intrinsic properties}}, \overbrace{F_{\text{sky}}, \Phi_{\text{IQ}}, m_{\text{lim}}, \ldots}^{\text{observing conditions}}\}$$

$$arepsilon(oldsymbol{\lambda}) = rac{N_{\sf rec}(oldsymbol{\lambda})}{N_{\sf tot}(oldsymbol{\lambda})}$$



Lightcurve Ensemble

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

Rates

Results Single Epoch Efficiencies





Detectability & Supervised Learning Was the transient detected?

- Need joint detectability for arbitrary conditions.
- Restrict to parameters which capture maximum variability:

$$oldsymbol{eta} = \{\textit{m}, \textit{S}_{\sf gal}, \textit{F}_{\sf sky}, \Phi_{\sf IQ}, \textit{m}_{\sf lim}\}$$

- Multi-dimensional problem, sparsely populated, traditional binning is difficult.
- Treat problem as *binary classification*: Found/Not found.
- Nearest Neighbor algorithm from scikit-learn library.



Supernova (SN) la lightcurves

- We use SALT2 model SNIa lightcurves [Guy et al., 2007].
- Phenomenological model based on observations by SDSS and SNLS surveys.



Figure: Example SNIa @ z = 0.01, $M_B = -19.05$, $(\alpha, \delta) = (16.6^{\circ}, 39.9^{\circ})$



SN Ia Detectability



- $pprox 5 imes 10^6$ SNIas
- Observability from observing schedule.
- Detectability from single-epoch classifier

・ロト ・回ト ・目ト



ж

Spacetime Volume Sensitivity

Mean observable number count:

$$\lambda_{\rm SNIa} = \underbrace{R_{\rm SNIa}}_{\rm Rate \ in \ Mpc^{-3}yr^{-1}} \times \underbrace{\langle VT \rangle_{\rm SNIa}}_{\rm SNIa}$$

In Monte-Carlo style:

$$\langle VT \rangle_{\rm SNIa} \approx \frac{\# {\sf SNIa}_{\sf detected}}{\# {\sf SNIa}_{\sf total}} \langle VT \rangle^{\sf max}$$

= 2.93 ± 0.03 × 10⁷ Mpc³yr

Spacetime volume of simulations, $\langle VT
angle^{ ext{max}}$ up to $z_{ ext{max}} \sim 0.3$



SNIa Rate

Work in Progress, ballpark numbers

Consistent with Subaru results [Poznanski et al., 2007]



Takeaway

The single-epoch classifier could be used for $\langle VT\rangle$ calculation of any general transient.



Extra Slides

- 1035 objects tagged as SNIa in iPTF.
- $R_{\rm SNIa}^{
 m SDSS2} \sim 2.9^{+1.07}_{-0.75} \times 10^{-5} {
 m Mpc}^{-3} {
 m yr}^{-1}$ @ $z \approx 0.1$ [Dilday et al., 2008]
- Using our $\langle VT\rangle_{\rm SNIa}$, expected count $\sim 630-1160.$ SNIa in iPTF.



Dilday, B., Kessler, R., Frieman, J. A., Holtzman, J., Marriner, J., Miknaitis, G., Nichol, R. C., Romani, R., Sako, M., Bassett, B., Becker, A., Cinabro, D., DeJongh, F., Depoy, D. L., Doi, M., Garnavich, P. M., Hogan, C. J., Jha, S., Konishi, K., Lampeitl, H., Marshall, J. L., McGinnis, D., Prieto, J. L., Riess, A. G., Richmond, M. W., Schneider, D. P., Smith, M., Takanashi, N., Tokita, K., van der Heyden, K., Yasuda, N., Zheng, C., Barentine, J., Brewington, H., Choi, C., Crotts, A., Dembicky, J., Harvanek, M., Im, M., Ketzeback, W., Kleinman, S. J., Krzesiński, J., Long, D. C., Malanushenko, E., Malanushenko, V., McMillan, R. J., Nitta, A., Pan, K., Saurage, G., Snedden, S. A., Watters, S., Wheeler, J. C., and York, D. (2008). A measurement of the rate of type ia supernovae at redshiftz pprox0.1 from the first season of the SDSS-II supernova survey. The Astrophysical Journal, 682(1):262–282.



Frohmaier, C., Sullivan, M., Nugent, P. E., Goldstein, D. A., and DeRose, J. (2017).



э

・ 日 ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Real-time recovery efficiencies and performance of the palomar transient factorys transient discovery pipeline. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 230(1):4.

Guy, J., Astier, P., Baumont, S., Hardin, D., Pain, R., Regnault, N., Basa, S., Carlberg, R., Conley, A., Fabbro, S., et al. (2007).

Salt2: using distant supernovae to improve the use of type ia supernovae as distance indicators.

Astronomy & Astrophysics, 466(1):11–21.

Poznanski, D., Maoz, D., Yasuda, N., Foley, R. J., Doi, M., Filippenko, A. V., Fukugita, M., Gal-Yam, A., Jannuzi, B. T., Morokuma, T., Oda, T., Schweiker, H., Sharon, K., Silverman, J. M., and Totani, T. (2007).

Supernovae in the subaru deep field: an initial sample and type ia rate out to redshift 1.6.

Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 382(3):1169–1186.

